

Gateshead Council Protect Duty Consultation

Question	Responses				Respondents	Comments				
<p>Q1. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement - Venues and organisations owning, operating or responsible for publicly accessible locations should take appropriate and proportionate measures to protect the public from attacks in these locations? Highlighted the relevant answer in red.</p>	Strongly disagree (SD)	Disagree (D)	Neither agree nor disagree (NAND)	Agree (A)	Strongly Agree (SA)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both Strongly agree			
<p>Q2. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement - Venues and organisations owning, operating or responsible for publicly accessible locations should prepare their staff to respond appropriately in the event of a terrorist attack to best protect themselves and any members of the public present?</p>	Strongly disagree (SD)	Disagree (D)	Neither agree nor disagree (NAND)	Agree (A)	Strongly Agree (SA)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Two slightly different responses, submitted as 'Strongly Agree'.			
<p>Q3. We propose that a targeted Protect Duty applies only to certain public venues. What criteria would best determine which venues a Duty should apply to?</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="557 1289 825 1556">A) Capacity</td> <td data-bbox="834 1289 1071 1356">B) Annual Revenue</td> <td data-bbox="1080 1289 1317 1356">C) Staffing Levels</td> <td data-bbox="1326 1289 1558 1356">D) Other (100 words max)</td> </tr> </table>				A) Capacity	B) Annual Revenue	C) Staffing Levels	D) Other (100 words max)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'Capacity'.
A) Capacity	B) Annual Revenue	C) Staffing Levels	D) Other (100 words max)							
<p>Q4. (Where the answer to Q3 is A) We have proposed a venue capacity of 100 persons of more as a threshold. What capacity level do you think would be appropriate to determine venues in scope of the duty?</p>	100 persons				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 100 persons				

Question	Responses					Respondents	Comments
Q5. (where the answer to Q3 is b-d) What threshold would you propose for inclusion in the scope of the Protect Duty for this criterion?	100 words max						N/A
Q6. We propose that a requirement to consider security and implement appropriate mitigations at a venue should fall to the owner and/or operator of the venue. Do you consider this appropriate?	Yes	No				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'Yes'
Q7. (where the answer to Q6 is no) Why not?	100 words max						N/A
Q8. We propose that where there is a shared organisational responsibility for a venue, or multiple organisations operating at a venue within scope, the parties would have to work together to meet the requirements. Do you consider this is appropriate?	Yes	No				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'Yes'
Q9. (where the answer to Q8 in no) Why not?	100 words max						N/A
Q10. We propose that a Protect Duty would also apply to certain organisations operating at publicly accessible locations. If an organisation's size were a criterion for its inclusion in the scope of the Duty, what would be an appropriate threshold? [select all that apply]	A) All organisations	B) Micro (1-9 employees)	C) Small (10-49 employees)	D) Medium (50-249 employees)	E) Large (250+ Employees)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'All Organisations'.
Q11. What is your reason for your answer to Q10?	Size of the organisation is irrelevant, more about the duty to protect.					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both stated almost exactly the same wording.

Question	Responses		Respondents	Comments
Q12. We have proposed a Protect Duty would apply to organisations with 250 or more employees. Is it clear as to whether your organisation falls within this criteria?	Yes	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'Yes'
Q13. (where the answer to Q12 in no) Why not?	100 words max.			N/A
Q14. Are you clear about whether your organisation falls within the scope of the definition of a 'publicly accessible location' (a place to which the public or any section of the public has access, on payment or otherwise, as of right or by virtue of express or implied permission)?	Yes	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'Yes'
Q15. (where the answer to Q14 in no) Why not?	100 words max.			N/A
Q16. Referring to Annex 1, do you consider that there should be other exemptions from a Protect Duty?	Yes	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'No'
Q17. (where the answer to Q16 is yes) then what, who and why?	200 words max.			N/A
Q18. Are there any other issues regarding who legislation should apply to that you would like to offer views on?	Event Management/Managers who may use multiple venues over the course of a year with varying staffing and attendance figures.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.
Q19. Does your organisation currently undertake a risk assessment for terrorism?	Yes	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'yes'

Question	Responses					Respondents	Comments
Q20. (Where the answer to Q19 is yes) Is this process undertaken by an in-house or an externally appointed individual? In house/External	In-house		External			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'in house'.
Q21. When you do undertake a terrorism risk assessment, how many working days a year do you estimate your organisation typically spend on this task? (Where this is undertaken by multiple staff, please include total days spent by all staff)?	100 words max.						Not answered as this is not part of the remit of the services providing a response.
Q22. How frequently does your organisation typically review this risk assessment?	A. Multiple times a year	B. Around once per year	C. Around once every 2 years	D. Around once every 3 or more years	E. Other (please specify)		Not answered as this is not part of the remit of the services providing a response.
Q23. What mitigations against terrorism does your organisation currently undertake (select all that apply)?	<p>a. Well defined organisational security protocols and procedures, including for response to terrorist attack</p> <p>b. Measures are in place to spot and disrupt hostile reconnaissance</p> <p>c. Work to ensure security behaviours are adopted by the workforce</p> <p>d. Personnel security policies and procedures consider security risks</p> <p>e. Site/location vulnerabilities (to terrorist threats) and appropriate physical mitigations are considered</p> <p>f. Evacuation, invacuation, lockdown procedures are in place and are understood and exercised by staff</p> <p>g. Staff training is undertaken to raise awareness of the threat and what to do</p>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.</p> <p>Context Note: The ACT training helps us a lot on these questions, but we do also have Council policies relating to dynamic lockdowns, we work with schools on security issues, work with developers (i.e. Quayside development, etc.), work with Counter Terrorism on Council building assessments (i.e. Gateshead Stadium)</p>

Question	Responses				Respondents	Comments
	h. Business continuity procedures or app (e.g. Action Counters Terrorism app) include information on how to respond to attacks					
	i. Liaison with police or other resource (e.g. security consultant) on threats and appropriate security measures					
	j. Involved in local security initiatives					
	k. Other _____(Free text, 100 words max)					
Q24. How much money does your organisation typically spend on new or revised security measures or processes that would mitigate against terrorist risks in one financial year?	The organisation provides a multi-faceted response involving Sports and Leisure venues, Facilities Management, Highways and other Business Units within the Local Authority so this is very difficult to provide.				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.
Q25. What are the existing activities and mechanisms which you consider result in the best protective security and organisational preparedness outcomes at public spaces (select all that apply)?	a. Communications campaigns e.g. Action Counters Terrorism and See It, Say It, Sorted	b. Staff awareness raising and training courses	c. Advice and guidance products and tools	d. Local authority mechanisms and processes (as outlined at page 19)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.
	e. Other _____(Free text, 100 words max)					
Q26. What are the existing local authority functions which currently result in the best protective security and organisational preparedness outcomes at public spaces (select all that apply)?	a. CONTEST and Protect Boards				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.
	b. Community Safety Partnerships					
	c. Licensing for sports grounds safety					
	d. Planning processes					
	e. Local Resilience Forums					

Question	Responses	Respondents	Comments
	<p>f. Safety Advisory Groups (for events)</p> <p>g. Business Improvement Districts (which can be set up by Local Authorities, businesses or individuals to benefit local businesses)</p> <p>h. Licensing Committees (for the sale and supply of alcohol, the provision of late-night entertainment and refreshment)</p> <p>i. Health and Safety, fire safety and building control processes.</p> <p>j. Other _____(Free text, 100 words max)</p>		
<p>Q27. What are the existing local authority functions which have the potential to result in the best protective security and organisational preparedness outcomes at public spaces (select all that apply)?</p>	<p>a. CONTEST and Protect Boards</p> <p>b. Community Safety Partnerships</p> <p>c. Licensing for sports grounds safety</p> <p>d. Planning processes</p> <p>e. Local Resilience Forums</p> <p>f. Safety Advisory Groups (for events)</p> <p>g. Business Improvement Districts (which can be set up by Local Authorities, businesses or individuals to benefit local businesses)</p> <p>h. Licensing Committees (for the sale and supply of alcohol, the provision of late-night entertainment and refreshment)</p> <p>i. Health and Safety, fire safety and building control processes.</p> <p>j. Other _____(Free text, 100 words max)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.</p>

Question	Responses	Respondents	Comments
<p>Q28. For your preferred option/s (in Q27) what would be required to improve or support this/these to realise more effective security outcomes?</p>	<p>Better links between the membership of these boards, partnerships, groups and forums with ACT training to raise awareness across the board.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.</p>
<p>Q29. How could organisations who work at public spaces be encouraged or required to engage with partner organisations (e.g. police) to ensure there is a better understanding of terrorist threat, the management of risk and mitigating measures?</p>	<p>The Police should have operational responsibility for the public highway for immediate prevention of terrorist attacks. The council would provide support via network management by: Enacting waiting restrictions, road closures where required; changing road layouts to help prevent/discourage terror attacks. Engagement and partnership with the police would be needed, albeit best led by the police as their expertise around risk and intelligence in this area is much greater than the local authority's.</p> <p>The SAG process addresses Event Planning work as does the Planning Process for developers, but this could be extended to licensing aspects for bars, restaurants and mobile catering?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transport Strategy Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	
<p>Q30. What are your views on a potential legislative requirement for local authorities (and relevant public authorities such as Highways Agencies) and other relevant local partners to develop a strategic plan to combat terrorism, to ensure public security, through partnership working?</p>	<p>Guidance for local authorities should be provided to build this into existing processes. The Highway network is very extensive and for the most part the risk of a terrorist attack is very small, so the development of guidance needs to be realistic and proportionate.</p> <p>Joined up partnership planning is required with additional funding, resources and national guidance, to ensure that those organisations working in more than one local authority or resilience forum area do not encounter cross border inconsistencies.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transport Strategy Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	
<p>Q31. With regard to Q30, What in your view would be the key components of such a legislative provision and associated guidance?</p>	<p>Clarity over:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> which areas are covered by the legislation? who and what is covered by the legislation? who has responsibility to enforce the legislation? what happens if required standards are not met (i.e. penalties, etc.)? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.</p>
<p>Q32. With regard to Q30, What organisation/s could play a leading role in bringing together and convening such partnerships?</p>	<p>The Local Resilience Forum</p> <p>The Local Resilience Forum, although not an organisation, could facilitate partnership planning, but this will require thought as to which services from within organisations are represented (i.e. Trading Standards, Events, Leisure, Planning, etc.). Potentially a Themed Group could be established with a range of task and finish groups working on specialised areas?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>Both selected 'Local Resilience Forum'.</p>

Question	Responses	Respondents	Comments
<p>Q33. With regard to Q30, What requirements to improve protective security and preparedness could be realistically achieved by such partnerships?</p>	<p>The existing LRF Safer Events Group provides consistency across Northumbria regarding event safety. There has already been task and finish groups established during COVID looking at issues such as licensing compliance, this type of approach could be used again in relation to Protect to provide an LRF wide consistent approach.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.</p>
<p>Q34. Do you have any additional proposals to put forward which could improve security at public spaces?</p>	<p>Increased funding for police and other publicly funded staff including local authorities to monitor and enforce, create new infrastructure based on risk, hire additional staff and provide additional signage and training.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	
<p>Q35. Where there is an existing legislative requirement for security (e.g. at certain sports grounds and transport sites, or in future those organisations and venues subject to a Protect Duty), is it reasonable to require relevant organisations (for example those surrounding the site) to work in partnership to achieve security outcomes?</p>	<p>Yes, but as already alluded to, there needs to be clarity over responsibility and non-compliance penalties.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>Both stated yes.</p>
<p>Q36. Where there is currently Government security guidance (e.g. bus and coach operators and commercial ports and UK flagged ships) would it be appropriate for this guidance to become legislative guidance under the Protect Duty to achieve greater certainty on security considerations and outcomes?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>Both stated yes.</p>
<p>Q37. Where Government has published security guidance (e.g. bus and coach operators and commercial ports and UK</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local 	<p>Both stated yes.</p>

Question	Responses					Respondents	Comments
flagged ships) or put in place voluntary schemes for products that could be used as weapons, would it be reasonable for businesses and other operators responsible to be mandated to follow that guidance under a Protect Duty?						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	
Q38. To what extent do you agree with the following statement: Compliance with a Protect Duty would require greater effort (e.g. time, staff resource) than compliance for comparable legal and other obligations (e.g. fire safety, health and safety, Licensing Act 2003 guidance, licensing for sports grounds, Safety Advisory Groups)?	Strongly disagree (SD)	Disagree (D)	Neither agree nor disagree (NAND)	Agree (A)	Strongly Agree (SA)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'Strongly agree'
Q39. How do you think these new requirements/ mitigations will affect:							
a. Number of customers/visitors visiting venues in scope of the duty?	Not at all	Increase		Decrease		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'Increase'
b. The public's perception of the terrorist threat?	Not at all	Increase		Decrease		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Both selected 'Increase'
c. Vigilance of the workforce/use of good security behaviours by staff?	Not at all	Increase		Decrease		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local 	Both selected 'Increase'

Question	Responses		Respondents	Comments
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	
<p>Q40. Annex 3 of the consultation sets out the anticipated costs and benefits of intervention in the form of a Protect Duty. Please provide any comments you have on this Annex.</p>	<p>The public sector costs have been identified as ‘Enforcement Costs’ but this could be substantially more due to dealing with enquiries and providing advice; this will be in addition to all of the costs associated with organisations owning and operating publicly accessible locations, which includes the public sector.</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.</p>
<p>Q41. Are there any other issues regarding what parties within the scope of a Protect Duty should be required to do that you would like to offer views on?</p>	<p>No views recorded</p>			
<p>Q42. How can an inspection regime best be used to support improvements to security culture and practices?</p>	<p>Inspections required across all low, medium and high-risk areas. There couldn’t be a one size fits all approach due to the range of businesses and locations involved. An inspection regime would need to be built into existing processes, such as licensing, safety advisory groups, etc. This would however need to be a consistent approach for all involved.</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	
<p>Q43. What are your views on the use of civil penalties (fines) for organisations who persistently fail to take reasonable steps to reduce the potential impact of attacks associated with ensuring compliance with a Protect Duty?</p>	<p>The process needs to be very clear for those potentially liable and for those enforcing. A proportional approach should be undertaken but the impacts of non-compliance need to be reflected.</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	
<p>Q44. Do you have any other comments regarding how a compliance regime (inspection and enforcement) could operate?</p>	<p>Proportionate and linked to available resources – not fee based.</p> <p>Linked into and complimenting existing inspection and enforcement regimes. The role of groups/bodies such as Safety Advisory Groups should be reviewed to ensure that enforcement capabilities are part of their remit.</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	
<p>Q45. Do you currently access Government advice (primarily from Counter Terrorism Policing and the Centre for the Protection of</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>No</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.</p>

Question	Responses				Respondents	Comments
National Infrastructure) regarding threat, protective security and preparedness?						
Q46. If the answer to Q45 is yes, what if anything do you find most valuable in current advice and guidance?	The ACT training programme and app are excellent and hugely beneficial when accessed by a large organisation such as ourselves. The regular national bulletin publication is very useful and informative and provides direct information and a contact to enable questions to be asked when required.				Resilience and Emergency Planning Team	View of Resilience and Emergency Planning only.
Q47. If the answer to Q45 is no, why do you not currently access this advice and guidance?	A) I did not know it existed	B) I do not think I need to address the threat	C) I do not have the time to access this	D) It is too confusing to find what I want		N/A
	E) Other (100 words max)					
Q48. What would you find most useful to help you comply with a Protect Duty (select all that apply)?	a. A single, digital service where you could access relevant material, advice and training in one place				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	Same responses for both.
	b. Easy to digest information regarding threat and attack methodologies					
	c. A risk assessment template					
	d. Information on undertaking a risk assessment for terrorism threats					
	e. Advice relating to protective security mitigations					
	f. Advice relating to personnel and people security					
	g. Advice relating to how an organisation can prepare for terrorism attack					
	h. Advice on what constitutes reasonably practicable and appropriate mitigations appropriate for my circumstances					
	i. Development of product certifications or standards for aspects of the approach					
	j. Staff training and awareness courses					

Question	Responses		Respondents	Comments
	<p>k. E-learning products</p> <p>l. An App</p> <p>m. A sector meeting where I can talk about the Duty with experts and other similar organisations</p> <p>n. A local meeting where I can talk about the Duty with experts and other similar organisations</p> <p>o. Other: _____(Free text, 100 words max)</p>			
<p>Q49. Counter-Terrorism Policing are working with Government and the Private Sector to design a digital service to provide access to relevant counter-terrorism material, advice and training in one place for organisations operating in publicly accessible locations. Do you anticipate that you would access counter terrorism information through this service if it were available to you?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Yes</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">No</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>Yes for both.</p>
<p>Q50. If the answer to Q49 is no, why not?</p>	<p>100 words max.</p>			<p>N/A</p>
<p>Q51. If the answer to Q49 is yes what would you most likely use this service for (tick all that apply)?:</p>	<p>a. To get general updates on how the terrorism risk is changing</p> <p>b. To support business planning activities</p> <p>c. To understand what risk management activities you need to do</p> <p>d. To access CT training</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>Same responses for both.</p>

Question	Responses	Respondents	Comments
	<p>e. To connect with other organisations to discuss counter terrorism</p>		
	<p>f. To understand what to do after an incident</p>		
	<p>g. Reporting of suspected terrorist activity/concerns</p>		
<p>Q52. Do you have any further comments or suggestions for how you might like to access counter-terrorism information and work with local partners on counter-terrorism issues in the future?</p>	<p>No views recorded</p>		
<p>Q53. What role should local business partnerships (such as Business Improvement Districts, Local Enterprise partnerships, etc.) have in supporting organisations and venues to deliver improved security?</p>	<p>No views recorded</p>		
<p>Q54. Working with others, what could the Government best do to incentivise improved security practices?</p>	<p>Funding and resources – based locally</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local • Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	
<p>Q55. To support the provision of high-quality advice and guidance from private sector security professionals providing counter terrorism security advice, Government should consider (tick all that apply)</p>	<p>a. Government supported standards for Counter Terrorism (CT) risk assessments and advice</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local • Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	<p>Both selected options a – d and Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local also selected f – so submission to be a – d and f.</p>
<p>b. Qualifications / Accredited training for individual professionals</p>			
<p>c. Government supported ‘approved contractors scheme’</p>			
<p>d. Regulation of CT consultants</p>			
<p>e. None</p>			

Question	Responses	Respondents	Comments
	<p data-bbox="560 289 1466 352">f. Other _____ (Free text, 100 words max) – Also investing in more policing</p> <p data-bbox="560 426 753 457">g. I don't know</p>		
<p data-bbox="192 497 537 695">Q56. What advice and support do you think would be required for organisations and venues within the scope of a Protect Duty?</p>	<ul data-bbox="566 497 1531 909" style="list-style-type: none"> • For the Highways Network Police led partnership working is required to utilise their risk and intelligence expertise. Adequate resources are required to fund partnership working, implementation of duties, changes to networks, etc. An understanding of how new laws will interact with existing highway duties as the reference to 'highway agencies' suggests a limited understanding. • Local support and involvement is required. • Targeted advice and support for those involved (i.e. if for SAGs, Licensing, etc.) from CTSA. Supported training sessions prior to launch would be useful, with continuous availability of online training similar to the ACT website and app. 	<ul data-bbox="1567 497 2139 772" style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local • Resilience and Emergency Planning Team • Transport Strategy 	
<p data-bbox="192 987 537 1392">Q57. Given the complexity of public spaces, and the potential need for partnership working to achieve effective ways of working leading to improvements in protective security and preparedness, what additional bespoke support and expertise could be provided?</p>	<p data-bbox="560 987 1531 1150">Targeted advice and support depending on the background/ role of those involved (i.e. if for SAGs, Licensing, etc.) from Counter Terrorism Support Officers. Supported training sessions prior to launch would be useful, with continuous availability of online training similar to the ACT website and app.</p>	<ul data-bbox="1567 987 2139 1220" style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local • Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	
<p data-bbox="192 1400 537 1598">Q58. Do you have any other proposals on what Government could do to support partners in the delivery of a Protect Duty?</p>	<p data-bbox="560 1400 1478 1463">More funding and resources, local involvement and site specific considerations.</p> <p data-bbox="560 1503 1531 1774">We've experienced Government handovers in the past, where it's been very obvious that the person managing the handover is not a subject matter expert and is just a civil servant who's been tasked with the job without having the background. What we need is to ensure that the resources are sufficient within the Counter Terrorism Support Teams to cope with demand and capacity and that sufficient time is provided to put all aspects of arrangements in place, ideally with a period of piloting/ testing.</p>	<ul data-bbox="1567 1400 2139 1633" style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing, Environment & Healthy Communities: Street Scene Services, Highways and Waste, Property and Assets, Strategic Housing & Residential Growth, Local • Resilience and Emergency Planning Team 	